## Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

October 11, 2019

Secretary David Bernhardt U.S. Department of the Interior 1849 C Street, NW Washington, DC 20240

Dear Secretary Bernhardt,

We are writing to update you and request your assistance on the Arkansas Valley Conduit (AVC) as the Administration's budget for Fiscal Year 2021 is developed and the congressional appropriations process for Fiscal Year 2020 reaches its final stage. The project is a top priority for us as we discussed in February with Commissioner of Reclamation Brenda Burman.

Our meeting with Commissioner Burman was prompted by the lack of a budget request for the AVC from the Administration for the second year in a row. We asked that she convene a process to determine how to move this safe drinking water project forward in a more timely fashion and with a robust funding plan. Since that meeting, there have been several important actions that we want to bring to your attention.

Both the House and Senate Energy and Water Appropriations bills have provided measurable budget increases for the Bureau of Reclamation in Fiscal Year 2020. Please note the attached language from the Senate measure, which makes clear the committee's desire for how these additional funds are to be allocated. We fully support the inclusion of this language in any funding measure that is sent to President Trump, and we ask for your support in allocating \$6 million for the AVC. Further, we ask that you work with the Office of Management and Budget to include \$6 million for the AVC in the President's Fiscal Year 2021 budget request.

The Arkansas Valley Conduit's purpose is to provide a delivery system for clean drinking water to 50,000 rural residents of southeastern Colorado. It draws on a water supply available for this very purpose from Pueblo Reservoir, the primary element of the Fryingpan-Arkansas Project. The high-quality water from the reservoir will replace groundwater supplies that are contaminated with naturally occurring radionuclides, in many cases well above federal Safe Drinking Water Act standards. The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment has given water providers in violation of this standard until 2025 to show meaningful progress on replacing this contaminated groundwater.

Projects like the AVC are not inexpensive, but they are necessary and just for the health and safety of our citizens. The Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District, the Fryingpan-Arkansas Project's local sponsor, recognizes the need to increase cost efficiency and reduce the

need for federal appropriations wherever possible. The District has been focused on such efforts and has been working with the Bureau of Reclamation to change the original route of the delivery system to reduce construction costs.

In addition, the District and the Bureau have completed a Value Planning process. In that process, further efficiencies were discussed, including accessing other federal and non-federal programs and resources to help pay for the project. Specifically, the District is working with the State of Colorado on non-federal support, and the District is developing a plan to connect water providers with funding sources from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Safe Drinking Water Act state revolving fund and from the U.S. Department of Agriculture's rural development program. These funds can be used to build connections from the providers to the main pipeline, thus dedicating the Bureau of Reclamation's investment to the "main stem" of the conduit.

Financial contributions from the State of Colorado can come in the form of grants, loans and direct appropriations. However, such funding mechanisms must go through the Colorado General Assembly, which will convene in January. Local legislators and Colorado's governor are committed to working on a funding agenda for the AVC.

We ask that the Administration be equally committed to supporting a clean drinking water system for 50,000 people living in small communities along the Arkansas River. The zip codes or census count of these rural areas should not preclude a significant federal partnership to provide safe drinking water as required by federal law.

Thank you for your consideration. We look forward to speaking with you further as the current and upcoming budget processes proceed.

Sincerely,

Cory Gardner

United States Senator

Michael F. Bennet United States Senator

Scott Tipton

Member of Congress

Ken Buck

Member of Congress

### TITLE II

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2019	\$15,000,000
Budget estimate, 2020	10,000,000
Committee recommendation	20,000,000

The Committee recommends \$20,000,000 for the Central Utah Project Completion Account, which includes \$1,800,000 for the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account for use by the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission, \$1,500,000 for necessary expenses of the Secretary of the Interior, and up to \$1,500,000 for the Commission's administrative expenses. This allows the Department of the Interior to develop water supply facilities that will continue to sustain economic growth and an enhanced quality of life in the western States, the fastest growing region in the United States. The Committee remains committed to complete the Central Utah Project, which would enable the project to initiate repayment to the Federal Government.

### BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

## OVERVIEW OF RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,730,000,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation [Reclamation], an increase of \$620,151,000 above the budget request. The Committee recommendation sets priorities by supporting our Nation's water infrastructure.

#### INTRODUCTION

In addition to the traditional missions of bringing water and power to the West, Reclamation continues to develop programs, initiatives, and activities that will help meet new water needs and balance the multitude of competing uses of water in the West. Reclamation is the largest wholesaler of water in the country, operating 338 reservoirs with a total storage capacity of 140 million acre-feet. Reclamation projects deliver 10 trillion gallons of water to more than 31 million people each year, and provide 1 out of 5 western farmers with irrigation water for 11 million acres of farmland that produce 60 percent of the Nation's vegetables and 25 percent of its fruits and nuts. Reclamation manages, with partners, 289 recreation sites that have 90 million visits annually.

## FISCAL YEAR 2020 WORK PLAN

The Committee recommends \$620,151,000 funding above the budget request for Water and Related Resources. Reclamation is directed to submit a work plan, not later than 60 days after the date

of enactment of this act, to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress proposing its allocation of these additional funds. The work plan shall be consistent with the following general guidance:

-None of the funds may be used for any item for which the

Committee has specifically denied funding;

-The additional funds are recommended for studies or projects that were either not included in the budget request or for which the budget request was inadequate;

-Funding associated with a category may be allocated to eligible

studies or projects within that category; and Reclamation may not withhold funding from a study or project because it is inconsistent with administration policy. The Committee notes that these funds are in excess of the administration's budget request, and that administration budget metrics shall not disqualify a study or project from being funded.

#### REPROGRAMMING

The Committee is retaining the reprogramming legislation provided in the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019.

#### DROUGHT RESILIENCY

Congress has invested approximately \$700,000,000 over the past 5 years in drought and water supply-related activities. The Committee remains intently focused on the need for substantially increased investment in improving drought resiliency as well as in finding opportunities for agencies to combine water supply benefits with other mission priorities. In the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, the Committee began the transition from mitigating an ongoing drought in the West to preparing for the next one. The Committee continues that approach in this year's bill by recommending \$206,000,000 for the drought resiliency programs authorized in WIIN.

The Committee directs Reclamation to continue working with the

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the NMFS, and relevant State agencies to undertake comprehensive, around the clock, real-time monitoring of water supply conditions and their impact on endangered species during critical periods in the winter and spring.

The Committee believes that the only answer to these chronic droughts is a combination of additional storage, substantial investments in desalination and recycling, improved conveyance, and increased efficiencies in the uses of water both for agriculture and potable purposes. As the West has consistently been the fastest growing part of the country, it is incumbent on Reclamation to lead the way in increasing the water that is available from year to year and to incentivize more efficient use of the water that is available.

## UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS

The Committee reminds Reclamation that a report was required in fiscal year 2019 regarding unmanned aerial systems [UAS]. Reclamation is directed to expedite completion of this report and within 30 days of enactment of this act, brief the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress on its findings and subsequent actions, as they relate to foreign-made UAS.

#### CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING

The Committee did not accept or include congressionally directed spending, as defined in section 5(a) of rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate. However, the Committee has recommended additional programmatic funds above the budget request for the Water and Related Resources account. In some cases, these additional funds have been included within defined categories, as in prior years, and are described in more detail in their respective sections below.

#### WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

Appropriations, 2019	\$1,391,992,000
Budget estimate, 2020	962,000,000
Committee recommendation	1,582,151,000

The Committee recommends \$1,582,151,000 for Water and Related Resources, an increase of \$620,151,000 above the budget request.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Water and Related Resources account supports the development, management, and restoration of water and related natural resources in the 17 western States. The account includes funds for operating and maintaining existing facilities to obtain the greatest overall level of benefits, to protect public safety, and to conduct studies on ways to improve the use of water and related natural resources. Work will be done in partnership and cooperation with non-Federal entities and other Federal agencies.

## BUREAU OF RECLAMATION—WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES [In thousands of dollars]

	Budget estimate		Committee recommendation	
Project title	Resources management	Facilities OM&R	Resources management	Facilities OM&R
ARIZONA				
AK CHIN INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT		15,311	······································	15,311
PROJECT	5,744	648	5,744	648
COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM	2,303		2,303	
SALT RIVER PROJECT	649	250	649	250
SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT	1,550 1,125	22,789	1,550 1,125	22,789
CALIFORNIA				
CACHUMA PROJECT	746	898	746	898
AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MOR-	1.577	0.027	, , , , , ,	0.017
MON ISLAND	1,577	8,837	1,577	8,837
AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT	5,075	2,184 5,644	5,075	2,184 5,644
EAST SIDE DIVISION	1.290	2,772	1.290	2,772
FRIANT DIVISION	1,508	3,411	1,508	3,411
TRIPATI VITIOIVIT	1,300	1 3,411	1,500	. 3,711

58
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION—WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES—Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget estimate		Committee recommendation	
Project title	Resources management	Facilities OM&R	Resources management	Facilities OM&R
SAN JDAQUIN RIVER RESTORATION SETTLEMENT	28,264 7,770	370	28,264 7,770	370
MAINT. PROGRAM		28,780		28,780
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION	1,675	495	1,675	495
SAN FELIPE DIVISION	218	73	218	73
SHASTA DIVISION	474	8,343	474	8,343
TRINITY RIVER DIVISION	10,371	4,077	10,371	4,077
WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS	2,628 2,758	10,793 4,908	2,628   2,758	10,793
ORLAND PROJECT	2,730	873		873
SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT	300	***************************************	300	
SOLANO PROJECT	1,162	2,233	1,162	2,233
VENTURA RIVER PROJECT	380	54	380	54
COLORADO				
ANIMAS-LA PLATA PROJECT	5,234	5,004	5,234	5,004
ARMEL UNIT, P-SMBP	4	384	4	384
COLLBRAN PROJECTCOLORADO—BIG THOMPSON PROJECT	205 <sup>1</sup> 96	1,850   13,513	205 96	1,850 13,513
FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT	75	13,513	75	13,313
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT	135	9,884	135	9.884
GRAND VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II	275	1,743	275	1,743
LEADVILLE/ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT		30,000		30,000
MANCOS PROJECT	100	678	100	678
NARROWS UNIT, P-SMBP	***************************************	30	***************************************	30
PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE #	1,080	2,967	1,080	2,967
PINE RIVER PROJECT	120	295	120	295
SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT, CLOSED BASIN	118	2,832	118	2,832
SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT, CONEJOS DIVISION	9	20	9 708	20 150
UNCOMPAHGRE PROJECT	708 729	150	708	130
IDAHO				
BDISE AREA PROJECTS	2,642	2,409	2,642	2,409
COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY	2,042	2,403	2,042	2,403
PROJECT	16,000		16,000	
LEWISTON ORCHARDS PROJECT	1,476	20	1,476	20
MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS	2,037	3,151	2,037	3,151
PRESTON BENCH PROJECT	14	47	14	47
KANSAS	1			10
ALMENA UNIT, P-SMBP	41	438	41	438
BOSTWICK UNIT, P-SMBP	211	887	211	887
CEDAR BLUFF UNIT, P-SMBPGLEN ELDER UNIT, P-SMBP	13	497 1,147	13	497 1,147
KANSAS RIVER UNIT, P-SMBP	104	100	104	100
KIRWIN UNIT, P-SMBP	14	371	14	371
WEBSTER UNIT, P-SMBP	ii ii	17,457	l ii	17.457
WICHITA PROJECT—CHENEY DIVISION	36	352	36	352
MONTANA				
CANYON FERRY UNIT, P-SMBP	188	5,126	188	5,126
EAST BENCH UNIT, P-SMBP	162	646	162	646
FORT PECK RESERVATION / DRY PRAIRIE RURAL			1	
WATER SYSTEM	2,431		2,431	
HELENA VALLEY UNIT, P-SMBP	52	238	52	238
HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT		476		476
HUNTLEY PROJECT	38	57	38	2 196
LOWER MARIAS UNIT, P-SMBP	I 86	2,186	l 86	2,186

59
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION—WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES—Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget estimate		Committee recommendation	
Project title	Resources management	Facilities OM&R	Resources management	Facilities OM&R
OWER YELLOWSTONE PROJECT	699	23	699	2
MILK RIVER PROJECT	400	3,051	400	3.05
MISSOURI BASIN O&M. P—SMBP	1.030	110	1,030	11
OCKY BOYS/NORTH CENTRAL MT RURAL WATER SYS-	1,050	110	1,050	11
TEM	1.984		1,984	
SUN RIVER PROJECT	107	398	107	39
ELLOWTAIL UNIT, P-SMBP	105	8,495	105	8.49
BENERAL BENERAL STOLEN BUTTLE SAME TO SERVICE AND SERVICE OF THE S	103	6,455	103	0,45
NEBRASKA	- 1			
INSWORTH UNIT, P-SMBP	59	98	59	9
RENCHMAN—CAMBRIDGE UNIT, P—SMBP	139	1,788	139	1,78
MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	10	74	10	7
IORTH LOUP UNIT, P-SMBP	90	159	90	- 13
NEVADA		1		
AHONTAN BASIN PROJECT	4,992	4,401	4.992	4,40
AKE TAHOE REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	115		115	
AKE MEAD/LAS VEGAS WASH PROGRAM	595	*************************	595	
NEW MEXICO				
CARLSBAD PROJECT	2.108	1,342	2,108	1.34
WIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT	12.461	10,121	12,461	10,1
RIO GRANDE PROJECT	2.153	11.668	2,153	11,66
RIO GRANDE PROJECT	68		68	7.75
TUCUMCARI PROJECT	15	5	15	
NORTH DAKOTA		•		
* CONTROL NATION.				
DICKINSON UNIT, P-SMBP	7.000	564	7.000	51
GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT, P-SMBP	7,666	12,199	7,666	12,1
HEART BUTTE UNIT, P-SMBP	10	969	10	9
OKLAHOMA				
ARBUCKLE PROJECT	39	203	39	2
MCGEE CREEK PROJECT	20	826	20	8:
MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT	31	600	31	6
NORMAN PROJECT	77	360	77	3
Washita Basin Project	54	1,091	54	1,0
W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT	39	503	39	5
OREGON				
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	444	420	444	4
DESCHUTES PROJECT	420	261	420	2
EASTERN OREGON PROJECTS	772	567	772	5
KLAMATH PROJECT	13,079	3.040	13,079	3,0
ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION	1.801	1,055	1.801	1,0
TUALATIN PROJECT	283	303	283	3
UMATILLA PROJECT	388	2,877	388	2,8
SOUTH DAKOTA	·			
ANGOSTURA UNIT, P-SMBP	30	968	30	9
BELLE FOURCHE UNIT, P-SMBP	376	841	376	8
KEYHOLE UNIT, P-SMBP		567		5
LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	100		100	
MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT	100	15		
MNI WICONI PROJECT		13,101		13.1
OAHE UNIT, P-SMBP	***************************************	110	***************************************	13,1
RAPID VALLEY PROJECT		71		
RAPID VALLEY UNIT, P-SMBP		199		1
SHADEHILL UNIT, P-SMBP	1	501	1	5

60

## BUREAU OF RECLAMATION—WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES—Continued

Walker Service Conference of	Budget estimate		Committee recommendation	
Project title	Resources management	Facilities OM&R	Resources management	Facilities OM&R
TEXAS				
SALMORHEA PROJECT	22	10	22	10
ANADIAN DIVED DEGLECT	40	82	40	8
OWER RIO GRANDE WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM	115.5		50	1.00
	50	001		na
IUECES RIVER PROJECT	54	921	54	92
AN ANGELO PROJECT	24	571	24	57
UTAH				
YRUM PROJECT	95	228	95	22
MOON LAKE PROJECT	21	101	21	10
NEWTON PROJECT	65	120	65	12
OGDEN RIVER PROJECT	165	196	165	19
DROVIO DIVED PROJECT		906	1,462	90
PROVO RIVER PROJECT	1,462			
SANPETE PROJECT	29	50	29	5
SCOFIELD PROJECT	313	128	313	12
STRAWBERRY VALLEY PROJECT	858	70	858	7
WEBER BASIN PROJECT	1,409	1,119	1,409	1,11
WEBER RIVER PROJECT	1,698	134	1,698	13
WASHINGTON				
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	5.296	15.367	5.296	15.36
WASHINGTON AREA PROJECTS	381	84	381	8
YAKIMA PROJECT	1,311	6,001	1,311	6,00
YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	10,760		10,760	
WYOMING				
BOYSEN UNIT, P-SMBP	154	2,256	154	2,25
BUFFALO BILL DAM, DAM MODIFICATION, P-SMBP	33	3,514	33	3,51
KENDRICK PROJECT	68	5,477	68	5,47
NORTH PLATTE PROJECT	57	1.484	57	1.48
NORTH PLATTE AREA, P-SMBP	72	5,526	72	5,52
OWL CREEK UNIT, P-SMBP	4	71	4	7
DIVEDTON LIMIT D CMDD	8		8	60
RIVERTON UNIT, P-SMBP		604		94
SHOSHONE PROJECT	34	942	34	94
SUBTOTAL, ITEMS UNDER STATES	189,289	358,724	189,289	358,72
REMAINING ITEMS				
ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR ONGOING WORK RURAL				
WATER			125,000	
FISH PASSAGE AND FISH SCREENS			13,500	
WATER CONSERVATION AND DELIVERY			274,000	
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND COMPLI-			- 10	
ANCE			50,000	
FACILITIES OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND RE-			50,000	
HABILITATION				4.00
	21.400			2.5
COLORADO RIVER COMPLIANCE ACTIVITIES	21,400			
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECT,		77.555		
TMLE 1		14,739		14,73
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECT,	G commen		(2002) 2006(2)	
TITLE II	10,000		10,000	
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT (CRSP), SEC-	13775		32	
TION 5	3,153	6,848	3,153	6,84
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT (CRSP), SEC-				
TION 8	3,078		3,078	
COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT	3,076		0,070	
	740		740	
PROJECT	740		740	
DAM SAFETY PROGRAM DEPARTMENT OF THE INTE-		19:200		5. 9.2
		1,300	A PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	1.30
RIOR DAM SAFETY PROGRAMINITIATE SAFETY OF DAMS CORRECTIVE ACTION	***************************************	72,187		79.8

61

# BUREAU OF RECLAMATION—WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

5	Budget estimate		Committee recommendation	
Project title	Resources management	Facilities OM&R	Resources management	Facilities OM&R
SAFETY EVALUATION OF EXISTING DAMS MERGENCY PLANNING & DISASTER RESPONSE PRO-		19,284		19,28
GRAM		1,250		1,25
ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM	2			
ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTA- TION PROGRAM (Bureauwide) ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTA-	2,500		5,500	***************************************
TION PROGRAM (Platte River)	4,000	***************************************	4,000	***************************************
(Upper Colo & San Juan Riv Basins)	2,850		2,850	
INVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	1,523		1,523	
EXAMINATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES		9,349		9,34
GENERAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES	2,132		2,132	
TION SETTLEMENT	8,301	***************************************	8,301	***************************************
BLACKFEET SETTLEMENTCROW TRIBE RIGHTS	10,000 12,772		10,000   12,772	
NAVAJO-GALLUP	66,182	3.000	66,182	3,0
AND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	10,060	3,000	10,060	
OWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM	31,299		31,299	
WISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS		832		8
NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS PROGRAM NEGOTIATION & ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MAR-	11,685		11,685	
KETING	2,308	***************************************	2,308	
OPERATION & PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	922	1,707	1,934	1,7
POWER PROGRAM SERVICES	2,121	307 206	2,121	3
PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION RECREATION & FISH & WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMINIS-	646 2,078		646 2,078	2
TRATION	3,249	,,,,,,	6,497	***************************************
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT: DESALINATION AND WATER PURIFICATION PRO-				
GRAM	1,475	1,150	28,850	1,1
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	11,014		20,000	
SITE SECURITY ACTIVITIESUNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES—TECHNICAL		36,359	***************************************	36,3
SUPPORT	80	***************************************	80	
WATERSMART PROGRAM WATERSMART GRANTS WATER CONSERVATION FIELD SERVICES PRO-	10,000	***************************************	60,000	***************************************
GRAMCOOPERATIVE WATERSHED MANAGEMENT	1,750 250		4,179 5,250	
BASIN STUDIESDROUGHT RESPONSE & COMPREHENSIVE	2,000	***************************************	5,200	***************************************
DROUGHT PLANSTITLE XVI WATER RECLAMATION & REUSE PRO-	2,901		4,000	
GRAM	3,000		65,017	
SUBTOTAL, REMAINING ITEMS	245,469	168,518	853,935	180,2
TOTAL	434,758	527,242	1,043,224	538,9

Aamodt Litigation Settlement Act.—The Committee encourages Reclamation to expeditiously conclude negotiations with the settlement parties to reach an agreement pursuant to section 611(g) of the Settlement Act (Public Law 111–291) to ensure there is no delay in construction. Under the Settlement Act, construction of